arXiv:1702.05172v1 [math.DG] 16 Feb 2017

Long geodesics on convex surfaces

Arseniy Akopyan Anton Petrunin

Abstract

We review the theory of intrinsic geometry of convex surfaces in the Euclidean space and prove the following theorem: if the surface of a convex body K contains arbitrary long closed simple geodesics, then K is an isosceles tetrahedron.

1 Introduction

The goal of this note is to introduce the reader to the theory of intrinsic geometry of convex surfaces. We illustrate the power of the tools by proving a theorem on convex surfaces containing an arbitrary long closed simple geodesic.

Let us remind that a curve in a surface is called *geodesic* if every sufficiently short arc of the curve is length minimizing; if in addition it has no self intersections, we call it *simple geodesic*. A tetrahedron with equal opposite edges will be called *isosceles*.



1.1. Theorem. *Assume that the surface Σ of a convex body K in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$ admits an arbitrary long simple closed geodesic. Then K is an isosceles tetrahedron.*

This theorem is extension of result of Vladimir Protasov [9], who proved it in assumption that Σ is the surfaces of a convex polyhedron. The advantage of the axiomatic method of Alexandrov geometry is that it allows us to work with metrics of convex surfaces even they are not polyhedra, smooth manifolds or not equipped with any other convenient structure.

2 An overview of the theory

The geometry of intrinsic metric on convex surfaces can be considered as generalization of Euclidean plane geometry, where instead of equality in the cosine rule we have the following inequality



$$c^2 \leqslant a^2 + b^2 - 2\cdot a\cdot b\cdot \cos\gamma.$$

This approach provides a uniform way to work with smooth and singular surfaces.

As the main reference, we will use the classical book of Alexandrov [2]; it is available in Russian and German. The books [4] or [8] of Busemann and Pogorelov should work as well and available in English.

Angles

By a *surface* we mean a compact 2-dimensional manifold (possibly with non-empty boundary), which is equipped with *geodesic* metric. A metric on the surface Σ is called geodesic if any two points $p, q \in \Sigma$ can be joined by a curve with length $|p - q|_\Sigma$; we denote by $|p - q|_\Sigma$ the distance from p to q in Σ. This curve will be denoted by $[pq]$ and called a *minimizing geodesic* from p to q.

A triple of points $x, y, z \in \Sigma$, together with a choice of three minimizing geodesics $[xy]$, $[yz]$, $[zx]$ will be called a *triangle* and denoted by $[xyz]$. Many different triangles with vertices x, y and z may exist, any of which can be denoted by $[xyz]$.

A triangle $[\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}]$ in the euclidean plane $\mathbb{E}^2$ with the same side lengths as $[xyz]$ is called a *model triangle* of $[xyz]$; this relation will be written as $[\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}] = \tilde{\triangle}(xyz)$. The angle $\measuredangle[\tilde{x}\,{}^{\tilde{y}}_{\tilde{z}}]$ of the model triangle $[\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}]$ is called *model angle* of the triangle $[xyz]$ at x and denoted by $\tilde{\measuredangle}(x\,{}^{y}_{z})$.



A pair of geodesics $[xy]$ and $[xz]$ with common endpoint x is called a *hinge* and is denoted by $[x\,{}^{y}_{z}]$. The angle measure $\measuredangle[x\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ of the hinge is defined as the limit of model angles for triangles sliding along the sides of hinge to its vertex. That is,

$$\measuredangle[x\,{}^{y}_{z}] = \lim_{\bar{y},\bar{z}\to x} \left\{ \tilde{\measuredangle}(x\,{}^{\bar{y}}_{\bar{z}}) \;\middle|\; \bar{y} \in]xy],\, \bar{z} \in]xz] \right\},$$

where $]xy] = [xy]\backslash\{x\}$. In general, the angle of hinge maybe undefined, but as you will see it will be defined every time we need it. Note that if $p \in]xy[= [xy]\backslash\{x, y\}$ then $\measuredangle[p\,{}^{x}_{y}] = \pi$.

Comparison

2.1. Comparison property. *We say that a hinge* $[x\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ *satisfies the* comparison property *if the angle* $\measuredangle[x\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ *is defined and*

$$\measuredangle[x\,{}^{y}_{z}] \geqslant \tilde{\measuredangle}(x\,{}^{y}_{z}).$$

Two hinges $[p\,{}^{x}_{z}]$ and $\measuredangle[p\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ will be called *supplementary*, if they share a side $[pz]$ and $p \in]xy[$.

2.2. Supplementary property. *We say that the* suplementary property *holds for two supplementary hinges* $[p\,{}^{x}_{z}]$ *and* $\measuredangle[p\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ *if the angles* $[p\,{}^{x}_{z}]$ *and* $\measuredangle[p\,{}^{y}_{z}]$ *are defined and*



$$\measuredangle[p\,{}^{x}_{z}] + \measuredangle[p\,{}^{y}_{z}] = \pi.$$

We will say that a surface Σ has *non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov* if the angles of all hinges in Σ are defined and satisfy the comparison and supplementary properties.[1]

The geometry of such surfaces is very specific. For example, by the comparison property, if a hinge has vanishing angle then one of its sides lies in the other. In particular, geodesics in Σ can not bifurcate. The following theorem plays the central role in the theory.

2.3. Globalization theorem. *Assume that any point of the surface* Σ *has a neighborhood* U *such that the angles of all hinges in* U *are defined and satisfy the comparison and supplementary properties. Then these properties hold for all hinges; that is* Σ *has non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov.*

This theorem was proved by Alexandrov [3] and generalized since then many times; an amusing proof was given recently by Urs Lang and Viktor Schroeder in [6].

Further, assume that the surface Σ has non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov, γ is a geodesic in Σ parametrized by length, and let p be an arbitrary point on Σ. Note that the function $f(t) = |p - \gamma(t)|_{\Sigma}$ is 1-Lipschitz. In particular, the function f is differentiable almost everywhere.

Let us denote by $\varphi_{\pm}(t)$ the angles between the positive and negative directions of γ and a geodesic $[\gamma(t)\,p]$; see the diagram. From the definition of angle, via the triangle inequality, one gets the following



$$\pm f'(t) \leqslant -\cos[\varphi_{\pm}(t)]$$

at any t for which the derivative $f'(t)$ is defined (see [2, XI §2 (7)]).

By the supplementary property $\varphi_- + \varphi_+ = \pi$; hence $\cos\varphi_+ + \cos\varphi_- = 0$. Therefore the two inequalities above imply so called *first variation formula*

$$f'(t) = -\cos[\varphi_+(t)] \qquad (*)$$

for any t, where the derivative $f'(t)$ is defined.

Further, for any surface Σ with non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov, the Kirszbraun extension theorem holds. That is, any distance non-expanding map from a subset of surface to the Euclidean plane can be extended to a distance non-expanding map defined on whole Σ; see [5, 1]. (In fact, this statement could be used to define the spaces with non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov.)

Applying the Kiszbraun theorem for three-point sets, one gets the following area comparison property which will be important to us.

[1] It is not known, if the comparison property for all hinges in Σ imply the supplementary property.

2.4. Area comparison. *Assume Σ is a surface with non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov and a triangle $[xyz]$ in Σ bounds a open set Δ homeomorphic to a disc. Then*

$$\operatorname{area}\Delta \geqslant \operatorname{area}\tilde{\triangle}(xyz).$$

An earlier proof of this theorem by slicing Δ into small triangles is given in [2, X §1].

Convex surfaces

Recall that the intrinsic distance between points x and y on a surface Σ in $\mathbb{E}^3$, is defined as the least upper bound for the lengths of curves connecting x to y in Σ.

2.5. Comparison theorem. *Any surface of convex body in $\mathbb{E}^3$, if equipped with the induced intrinsic metric, is a sphere with a non-negatively curved metric in the sense of Alexandrov.*

The converse of this theorem also holds if one considers convex plane figure as a degenerate convex body [2, III §3]. The surface of a flat convex figure has to be defined as its doubling; that is, two copies of the figure glued along the boundary — it will look like a surface if you can walk on both sides of the figure but can not pass through it.

2.6. Theorem. *Any surface Σ with non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov which is homeomorphic to the sphere is isometric to the surface of a convex body in $\mathbb{E}^3$, which possibly degenerate to a flat figure.*

It turns out that Σ defines the convex body up to congruence. This is a very hard theorem; it was first proved by Pogorelov in [7]. We will use the following weaker statement proved by Alexandrov earlier [2, VI §5]; its proof goes essentially the same way as Cauchy's rigidity theorem.

2.7. Rigidity theorem. *Convex polyhedrons in $\mathbb{E}^3$ with isometric surfaces are congruent.*

Curvature measure

Let Σ be a surface with non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov. Assume a triangle $[xyz]$ bounds an open set Δ in Σ which is convex and homeomorphic to a disc. By convex we mean that any minimizing geodesic with endpoints in Δ lie completely in Δ. In this case we define the *curvature* of Δ as the angle excess of the triangle $[xyz]$; that is,

$$\kappa(\Delta) = \measuredangle[x\,{}^{y}_{z}] + \measuredangle[y\,{}^{z}_{x}] + \measuredangle[z\,{}^{x}_{y}] - \pi.$$

The functional κ uniquely extends to non-negative measure, so called the *curvature measure*, defined on all Borel subsets in the interior of Σ.

It turns out that in Σ, any geodesic without its end-points has vanishing curvature. This can be proved by covering interior of geodesic by two thin triangles with small excess as shown on the picture. (This requires some work, but simple.)





Further, the curvature of an interior point in Σ can be thought as $2\cdot\pi$ minus total angle around it. The later can be seen from the picture — to find the curvature of the central vertex one has to subtract excesses of three small triangles from the excess of the big one. (The existence of such configuration also requires some work.) A point of non-zero curvature is called *singular*.

For curvature measure, an analog of the Gauss–Bonnet formula holds; in particular, if Σ is a surface with non-negative curvature in the sence of Alexandrov then

$\diamond$ If Σ is homeomorphic to the sphere then

$$\kappa(\Sigma) = 4\cdot\pi$$

$\diamond$ If a closed geodesic cuts from Σ a disc Δ then

$$\kappa(\Delta) = 2\cdot\pi.$$

3 Four singular points

The following lemma is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.1. A point in a surface is called *flat* if it admits a flat neighborhood; that is a neighborhood isometric to an open subset of the plane. Equivalently, the curvature measure is vanishing in a neighborhood of this point.

3.1. Lemma. *Assume that the surface Σ of a convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^3$ admits an arbitrary long simple closed geodesic. Then the surface of K contains 4 singular points with curvature π and the rest of it is flat.*

In the proof we will show that it is possible to find four non-intersecting open sets of arbitrary small diameter, such that each of them having curvature arbitrary close to π. Passing to the limit we get the statement of the lemma.

By cutting the surface Σ along a sufficiently long closed simple geodesic, we get two discs. The key step is to show that each of these discs is "long" and "thin". Then we show that their "ends" form four required sets with big curvature. To be more precise, the four ends will have small perimeter, but according to the following claim, it implies small diameter as well. In fact, this claim holds for any metric on the sphere, not necessary closed convex surface.

3.2. Claim. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$, such that any simple curve in Σ of length smaller than δ bounds a region of diameter at most ε.*

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, suppose there is a sequence of curves γ_n which cuts Σ into open regions A_n and B_n of diameter at least ε each and such that $\operatorname{length}\gamma_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By compactness of Σ, we can pass to a subsequence of γ_n which converges to a point, denote it by p.

Note that for large n, each of the regions A_n and B_n contains a disk of radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$; label their centers by a_n and b_n respectively. Indeed, if n is large, then γ_n lies in $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ neighborhood of p. Since the diameters of the regions is at least ε, points in the regions maximizing the distance to p will do the trick.

Pass to a subsequence of γ_n so that a_n and b_n converge, denote by a and b their limits. Note that for large n the domains A_n and B_n contain the disks of radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ centered at a and b correspondingly. In particular, for all large n, any path from a to b have to pass through γ_n. Since γ_n converges to p, any path from a to b pass through p. The latter does not hold since Σ is homeomorphic to the sphere, a contradiction. □

Proof of Lemma 3.1 Cut the surface Σ along a sufficiently long closed simple geodesic, we get two discs. Choose one of the discs, say D; equip it with the intrinsic metric further denoted by $|* - *|_D$. According to the comparison theorem (2.5) the surface Σ has non-negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov. By the globalization theorem (2.3) the same holds for D.

Choose a pair of points $p, q \in \partial D$ which maximize the distance $|p - q|_D$. Clearly,



$$|x - p|_D, |x - q|_D \leqslant |p - q|_D$$

for any other point $x \in \partial D$. By the comparison property (2.1)

$$\measuredangle[x\,{}^{p}_{q}] \geqslant \tfrac{\pi}{3}. \qquad (**)$$

The points p and q divide ∂D into two arcs, say γ_1 and γ_2; let us parametrize them by arc length from p to q. By the first variation formula $(*)$, for almost all t we have

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}|p - \gamma_i(t)|_D = -\cos\varphi,$$

where φ is the angle between the direction of γ_i at $x = \gamma_i(t)$ and the geodesic $[xp]$. The same way

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}|q - \gamma_i(t)|_D = -\cos\psi,$$

where ψ is the angle between the direction of γ_i at $x = \gamma_i(t)$ and the geodesic $[xq]$. By $(**)$ and the supplementary property (2.2) we have $\varphi - \psi \geqslant \frac{\pi}{3}$. Therefore

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}\left(|p - \gamma_i(t)|_D - |q - \gamma_i(t)|_D\right) = \cos\psi - \cos\varphi \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

In particular,

$$|p - q|_D \geqslant \tfrac{1}{8} \cdot \operatorname{length}[\partial D].$$

That is, if the geodesic was long then D has large diameter.

Fix a positive δ which is small with respect to ε. (The value $\delta = \varepsilon \cdot \sin\varepsilon$ will do. It means that in any Euclidean triangle with one side ε and another δ the angle opposite to the side of length δ is less than ε).

Let x and y be the first points along γ_1 and γ_2 respectively at distance ε from p. If $|q - x|_D > |q - y|_D$, move the point y along γ_2 toward p until $|q - x|_D = |q - y|_D$; since $|p - q|_D$ is maximal, this distance will be achieved. In case $|q - x|_D < |q - y|_D$ do the same for the point x. Now we have

$$|q - x|_D = |q - y|_D \geqslant |p - q|_D - \varepsilon.$$

By the area comparison (2.4)

$$\operatorname{area}[\tilde{\triangle} qxy] \leqslant \operatorname{area}[\triangle qxy] \leqslant \operatorname{area}\Sigma.$$

It follows that

$$|x-y|_D \leqslant 2\cdot\frac{\operatorname{area}[\tilde{\triangle}(xyq)]}{|q-x|_D} \leqslant 100\cdot\frac{\operatorname{area}\Sigma}{\operatorname{length}[\partial D]}.$$

Therefore, if D has large perimeter then $|x-y|_D < \delta$.

Cut D by $[xy]$ and consider the part (a lune) L_p with the point p in it. Note that the curvature of L_p is $\alpha+\beta$, where α and β the angles as on the diagram. By the comparison property $\alpha \geqslant \tilde{\measuredangle}(x\,{}^{p}_{y})$ and $\beta \geqslant \tilde{\measuredangle}(y\,{}^{p}_{x})$. Therefore the curvature of L_p is at least $\pi - \tilde{\measuredangle}(p\,{}^{x}_{y}) > \pi - \varepsilon$.



Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Assuming $\operatorname{length}[\partial D]$ is long enough, we can find a lune L_p with perimeter at most $2\cdot\varepsilon+\delta$, such that curvature L_p is at least $\pi-\varepsilon$. If ε is small, by Lemma 3.2, L_p has small diameter, say $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(\varepsilon)$.

Using the same construction for p and q in the disc D, and for the other disc, we get four lunes in Σ, each of diameter at most ε', and each with curvature at least $\pi-\varepsilon$. By Gauss–Bonnet formula, the remaining curvature is at most $4\cdot\varepsilon$.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that support of curvature measure can be covered by four sets with arbitrary small diameter; that is, support of κ is a 4-point set. Clearly each of these points has curvature π, the remaining part of Σ has vanishing curvature and therefore flat. □

4 Isosceles tetrahedron

4.1. Lemma. *Assume that the closed convex surface Σ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has 4 singular points with curvature π. Then it bounds an isosceles tetrahedron.*

Proof. Denote the singular points by p, x, y and z.



Let us cut Σ along three geodesics $[px]$, $[py]$ and $[pz]$. Develop the obtained flat surface on the plane. Since each point x, y and z have curvature π, the pairs of cuts at these points open straight. Therefore, the development forms a triangle say $\triangle$ — the points x, y and z correspond to the midpoints of the sides of $\triangle$ and the point p correspond to the vertices of $\triangle$.

It follows that Σ is isometric to the surface of isosceles tetrahedron. The statement now follows from the Pogorelov's uniqueness theorem, mentioned in the theory overview.

Alternatively one could apply the following exercise and the rigidity theorem (2.7) which has much simple proof. □

4.2. Exercise. *Assume that a convex body K is bounded by a closed flat surface with finite number of singular points. Show that K is a polyhedron.*

Acknowledgment. We want to thank Kristof Huszar for valuable comments on the preliminary version of this note.

References

[1] S. Alexander, V. Kapovitch, and A. Petrunin. Alexandrov meets Kirszbraun. In *Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2010*, pages 88–109. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[2] А. Д. Александров, *Внутренняя геометрия выпуклых поверхностей.* Гостехиздат, 1948;
A. D. Alexandrow, *Die innere Geometrie der konvexen Flächen.* Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.

[3] A. D. Alexandrow, Über eine Verallgemeinerung der Riemannschen Geometrie. *Schr. Forschungsinst. Math.*, 1:33–84, 1957.

[4] H. Busemann. *Convex surfaces.* Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 6. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York; Interscience Publishers Ltd., London, 1958.

[5] U. Lang and V. Schroeder. Kirszbraun's theorem and metric spaces of bounded curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(3):535–560, 1997.

[6] U. Lang and V. Schroeder. On Toponogov's comparison theorem for Alexandrov spaces. *Enseign. Math.*, 59(3-4):325–336, 2013.

[7] А. В. Погорелов, *Однозначаная определённость общих выпукых поверхностей*, Изд. АН УССР, Киев, 1952.

[8] A. V. Pogorelov, *Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces.* Vol. 35. American Mathematical Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[9] V. Y. Protasov. On the number of closed geodesics on a polyhedron. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 63(5(383)):197–198, 2008.

Arseniy Akopyan,
Institute of Science and Technology Austria,
Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria.
Email: akopjan@gmail.com

Anton Petrunin,
Mathematics Department,
Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA 16802, USA
Email: petrunin@math.psu.edu